UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,794	05/04/2001	Adrian Boariu	042933/302745	7888
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 01/23/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
212122	ERICA PLAZA	2.4000	PUENTE, EVA YI	
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/848,794		BOARIU, ADRIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	EVA Y. PUENTE	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess				
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejectio	n. .ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount of the corresponding amount of the correct and the corre	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	wiente the date of filing a brief						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);					
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allownon-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 11-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	la afana an an tha alata af fillion a Nia	# <b># A</b>	h t				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Chieh M Fan/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant repeatedly argues that Hammons does not teaches or suggests a decoder for detecting values of symbols as a function of real-value vector, devoid of any complex matrices. Even in the case of BPSK symbols, the received signal still has complex components. Examiner disagrees. In Final Office Action, Examiner directed Applicant to paragraph [0066] and [0068] of Hammons, wherein the BPSK is defined to have a complex number  $\omega$  equal to -1, which is a real number. It is well known that a BPSK is a modulation detector. Examiner has sufficiently addressed other arguments presented by applicant in Final Office Action. Therefore, Examiner's position over rejection is remaining unchanged.